The prosthetic management of gingival aesthetics
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Periodontal disease, trauma, and congenital defects can result in both soft tissue and hard tissue defects that can present with aesthetic problems. The management of these problems may be limited to prevention or surgical management which can result in significant morbidity especially if a second surgical site for grafting is utilised. This article describes the various prosthetic techniques to improve gingival aesthetics using contemporary materials such as gingivally coloured composite and gingivally coloured porcelain in addition to more traditional materials such as standard prosthetic acrylic.

INTRODUCTION

The preservation or reproduction of optimal mucogingival aesthetics can be difficult to achieve from both a surgical and prosthetic perspective. An increasing patient and clinician awareness of the importance of gingival and smile aesthetics has resulted in the development of both surgical and prosthetic techniques aimed at improving or maintaining these aesthetic characteristics.1,2

Unsightly recession defects may present with concomitant buccal cervical cavities which may require restoration to protect from further tooth surface loss, reduce plaque retention or decrease dentinal sensitivity. Where recession is more generalised and especially in those patients who have undergone successful periodontal therapy, the loss of papillae may also be unsightly and the term ‘black triangle syndrome’ has been coined.3 The maintenance of papillae after extraction can be difficult especially where heavily restored teeth, trauma or congenital conditions present with both compromised quality and quantity of bone and soft tissues. Surgical techniques advocated for recreating gingival architecture around recession or alveolar defects are technique-sensitive and may require a graft from an additional surgical site with consequent additional morbidity.4 Where edentulous spaces present with marked vertical and horizontal defects bone grafting may be required to support implant rehabilitation especially in the aesthetic zone.5 If patients are keen to improve these aspects, the surgical option may be presented without much alternative.

Matching gingival and tooth proportions by either fixed or removable means to achieve aesthetic harmony to the smile can be challenging (Figs 1 and 2). These difficulties are exacerbated where a patient presents with a so-called ‘high smile line’ exposing differing lengths of teeth in the aesthetic zone. Where there is vertical soft tissue loss in edentulous spaces, a variety of surgical techniques have been advocated to increase soft tissue volume before the provision of a definitive bridge.6,7 These techniques are primarily designed to improve the emergence profile of the pontic but as noted, the morbidity of a possible second surgical site is an important consideration.

This paper describes both traditional and contemporary techniques available in the prosthetic management of soft tissue aesthetics and discusses their advantages, disadvantages and alternatives.

MANAGEMENT OF RECESSION ON SINGLE TEETH

Gingivally coloured restorations on natural teeth

In situations where recession is localised to a single tooth, the aetiology of the recession must first be identified and addressed before the provision of any restorations. Indeed, patients may not be aware of the recessive defect and remedial measures to stop progression of the recession may suffice. The need to achieve and maintain gingival health is important as the repercussions of gingival disease after restoration can be difficult to manage. Where a buccal cervical restoration is indicated, the aetiology of tooth tissue loss needs to be considered. Untreated non-carious cervical lesions may result in the exposure of root dentine causing hypersensitivity; conversely, carious cervical lesions can progress to pulpal exposure.8,9 A relatively new innovation is the use of adhesive materials that are coloured with gingival shades to match adjacent soft tissues. Zalkind and Hochman first described the use of gingivally coloured composites in the management of a cervical defect.10 The authors described the need to pay specific attention to the cervical contour of the restoration to prevent plaque retention; this can be achieved using techniques to apply the composite with the appropriate instruments, adequate moisture control and curing.11,12 To provide optimal soft tissue aesthetics with adhesive
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materials, a pseudo gingival sulcus can be created around the margin of the restoration coinciding with the previous free gingival margin (Fig. 3). More recently the use of gingival porcelain veneers has been described in a patient with a history of periodontal treatment.\textsuperscript{13} In this case report the presence of gingival recession localised to 11 and 21 was treated with gingivally coloured partial coverage porcelain veneers. A diagnostic procedure was performed using pink wax both intra-orally and on study models to aid in visualising the final result.\textsuperscript{13} The preparation of the teeth included a chamfer margin in combination with a 0.5 mm labial reduction.\textsuperscript{13} The final restoration was constructed with the aid of an intra-oral photograph as shade matching proved to be difficult. The authors commented that there was a poor shade match in the definitive restoration although at one week review, the patient’s symptoms of dentine sensitivity had ceased.\textsuperscript{13}

Where there is a developmental cause for mismatch in the proportions of the patient’s teeth, the use of gingival porcelain in combination with traditional tooth coloured porcelain can aid in improving individual tooth proportions (Figs 4 and 5). The advantages and disadvantages of gingival porcelain veneers and gingival coloured composite are illustrated in Table 1. Where extracoronal restorations are provided for teeth with recession, gingival porcelain can be incorporated into extracoronal restorations to provide an improved aesthetic result (Figs 6 and 7).\textsuperscript{10,14}

**Gingivally coloured restorations on implants**

Compromised aesthetics subsequent to loss of peri-implant tissue can be improved by the application of gingival coloured porcelain to the cervical portion of restorations (Figs 8-10).\textsuperscript{15} The use of gingival coloured ceramic in the restoration of single tooth implants has been described for both all-ceramic crowns and metal-ceramic crown restorations.\textsuperscript{23} One patient in this clinical case report complained of uneven gingival margins after implant provision which was followed by two episodes of mucogingival surgery. The use of gingivally coloured porcelain applied to the cervical region of the definitive crown produced a satisfactory result. In another case described in the same study, gingival porcelain was applied to the custom zirconia abutment as opposed to the cement-over crown. The gingival porcelain in this restoration was ridge-lapped, extending mesially and cervically masking the absence of an interdental papilla in addition to the recession defect at the implant itself. The extent of application of gingivally coloured porcelain toward gingival embrasure spaces may be limited by the need to maintain a path of insertion of the crown in relation to adjacent teeth. In contrast, the application of gingival porcelain to customised abutments allows more scope for gingival embrasure spaces to be filled where interproximal papillae are missing, as there are fewer constraints to the path of insertion of the abutment in comparison to the crown.\textsuperscript{16} This perceived aesthetic advantage needs to be balanced against the likelihood of increased difficulty in keeping the implant-abutment interface plaque-free, and in carrying out peri-implant probing examinations. If the ridge-lap is extensive, the presence of peri-implant problems may not become apparent until the restoration and associated components are completely removed. The authors also commented that the technique could be applicable to those cases where future peri-implant recession is anticipated – although the recognition that this may become more likely with a restoration that was not readily cleansable was not identified. An advantage of such a technique is the relocation of the cement lute away from the soft tissues.\textsuperscript{17} This needs to be balanced against the possibility of a visible cement line at the crown-abutment interface in addition to the increased technical difficulty in making the custom abutment and definitive restoration.

**MANAGEMENT OF SOFT TISSUE AESTHETICS FOR MULTIPLE TEETH AND EDENTULOUS SPANS**

**Gingival prosthesis**

Where there is generalised tissue loss as a result of periodontal disease (Figs 12-14) or where there is significant variation in gingival margin heights (Figs 15 and 16), the use of a ‘gingival prosthesis’ can dramatically improve aesthetics especially in those patients with a high smile line.\textsuperscript{16} This form of prosthesis can also be used in situations where soft tissue has been lost...
through surgical procedures, trauma, ridge resorption or traumatic tooth extraction.\textsuperscript{16} The terms used to describe these appliances include flange prosthesis, gingival veneer prosthesis, removable gingival veneer, acrylic gingival veneer, acrylic periodontal veneer, removable gingival extension and gingival mask.\textsuperscript{17–23} As ‘gingival veneers’ constructed from porcelain for single tooth units were described in the previous section, the authors feel that ‘gingival prosthesis’ is the most appropriate term. Gingival prostheses can be produced from either acrylic or silicone and are retained using undercut both interdentally and distal to the terminal abutments.\textsuperscript{16,23,24} They can be constructed at the chair side or indirectly.\textsuperscript{16,17} The indirect technique requires an impression that captures the interproximal spaces and the full depth of the sulcus for the span of the planned prosthesis ideally using a special tray (Fig. 13).\textsuperscript{21} Where patients have poor oral hygiene or a high caries rate the provision of a gingival prosthesis is contraindicated until any ongoing disease is stabilised.\textsuperscript{25} In patients with a low labial frenum a frenectomy can be considered before provision, otherwise a midline fracture of the thin acrylic in this area may be more likely.\textsuperscript{24} Where loss of interdental papillae is marked, air escape through interdental spaces which compromises phonetics can be prevented with a gingival prosthesis.\textsuperscript{17} Dentine hypersensitivity is a recognised complication of periodontal treatment; a gingival prosthesis can be used to apply and maintain contact of desensitising agents against root dentine to help alleviate symptoms.\textsuperscript{21} Similarly, the use of a gingival prosthesis in the management of patients with desquamative gingivitis that is associated with conditions such as lichen planus, bullous dermatoses and plasma cell gingivitis has also been described.\textsuperscript{26} Symptoms can be alleviated by using a gingival prosthesis

| Table 1 Comparison between gingival composite and gingival porcelain for cervical restorations |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Feature                          | Gingivally coloured composite    | Gingivally coloured porcelain     |
| Fabrication and placement        | Can be challenging due to moisture control when in close proximity to the gingival sulcus. The margin of the composite restoration needs careful consideration to prevent further recession. | May require the removal of sound tooth tissue and production of a margin on root dentine. The marginal interface of the restoration needs careful consideration to prevent further recession. |
| Shape, colour, form and characterisation | The clinician has direct control over the shape, colour and the characterisation of the restoration. Minimally invasive and bond can be predictable where sound enamel is still present. Some composite kits include staining shades that can be used for characterisation. | The clinician does not have direct control over the form of the restoration and so cannot appraise cleansability and characterisation. Colour and characterisation may be difficult to relay to the technician. |
| Refurbishment                     | Can be removed and replaced with minimal morbidity to underlying tooth tissue. The composite can be easily modified post curing to improve cleansability and characterisation. | Difficult to refurbish and the replacement may result in significant removal of sound tooth tissue which may also compromise the periodontium. |
| Hygiene                           | The cervical extent of the composite is directly under the clinician’s control. The cleansability can be evaluated at the time of placement. | The cement lute may encroach upon the cervical gingival margin causing trauma and subsequent plaque retention. |

Fig. 6 Patient presenting with a removable partial denture replacing 21 and a temporary crown on 22

Fig. 7 The denture was replaced with a resin bonded bridge cantilevered from 11 and the 22 was definitively restored with a metal ceramic crown. Both restorations had gingivally coloured porcelain incorporated. Note the black arrows illustrating the difference in incisal-cervical length of the temporary crown in comparison to the final restoration. The definitive restoration illustrates how a small amount of gingivally coloured ceramic can improve aesthetic proportions.

Fig. 8 Patient presenting with recession associated with the 11 implant.

Fig. 9 The definitive porcelain restoration with gingivally coloured porcelain. Note the thinning of porcelain cervically to allow for cleansability but resulting in slight show through of the abutment.

Fig. 10 Linked implant crowns 11 and 12. Sufficient space was incorporated into the prosthesis to allow for flossing while also creating a ‘pseudopapilla’.
to hold therapeutic steroid medicaments against the affected mucosa. Where patients are prone to root caries, such as those who have undergone radiotherapy for head and neck cancer, a gingival prosthesis could be used to deliver fluoride formulations in a similar fashion and so aid in remineralising early carious lesions.

Where there has been marked vertical resorption of the edentulous ridge post extraction, the combination of a conventional bridge and a gingival prosthesis has been reported. In contrast to engaging interproximal undercuts the methods described for retention of gingival prostheses in combination with a bridge have included the use of pins and precision attachments. The use of pins as opposed to attachments for retention could be considered advantageous due to the relative ease with which the removable component can be replaced by simply taking a new impression. If the removable portion is retained by an attachment, the dismantling of the whole bridge and a new fixed and removable restoration may be required even if only the gingival portion requires replacement. As it is likely that a gingival prosthesis will require replacement due to loss of retention or discolouration of the acrylic, the ease with which a new prosthesis can be constructed is an important consideration.

**Gingival porcelain on fixed bridgework**

Where multiple teeth are to be replaced by a fixed prosthesis, the creation of harmonious gingival levels between edentulous spaces and implant or tooth abutments can be difficult (Fig. 1). The achievement of ideal gingival levels may not be required in low smile line presentation or where gingival aesthetics themselves are not a priority for the patient. If large edentulous spans are present the soft tissue topography in vertical, horizontal and combined planes can make implant placement and subsequent restoration challenging. Indeed implant retained bridgework in the aesthetic zone where inadequate soft tissue profile is present can result in inverted tooth axes, incorrect tooth proportions, inverted smile lines or rectangular teeth with long contact points. Surgical approaches to this lack of tissue volume include distraction osteogenesis and/or the use of onlay bone grafts. Connective tissue roll grafts to increase soft tissue volume may also suffice. These procedures carry significant morbidity and may not always provide the ideal topography for implant placement or pontic emergence in the aesthetic zone. Where marked vertical defects in edentulous spaces are present, gingivally coloured materials can be used in the provision of fixed bridge work to improve vertical and horizontal
pontic proportions (Figs. 10, 16-18). Indeed patients who have undergone surgical procedures to improve soft tissue topography may still require the use of gingivally coloured porcelain to optimise aesthetics of the final restoration. The awareness of limitations of adjunctive surgical procedures should be borne in mind and incorporation of gingival porcelain in the final restoration should be considered at the planning stage. This planning can range from a diagnostic wax-up to CT scanning for prospective gingival and tooth restorations. The reduction in morbidity, time and cost for patients when providing gingival porcelain as opposed to bone grafting is considered advantageous for patients undergoing implant rehabilitation in the aesthetic zone. Additional benefits include the use of the gingival porcelain ridge lap to aid in lip support and phonetics. Where multiple implants have been placed optimally the use of gingivally coloured porcelain can provide the appearance of interdental papilla (Fig. 10). This approach can be difficult to maintain where implant pier abutments are present or the implants are positioned in close proximity. Masking of the vertical defect and improvement of aesthetics needs to be balanced against the ability to clean under associated pontics (Figs 10, 16-18). In patients with a high smile line the junction of the gingivally coloured porcelain with the natural gingival tissue may be noticeable, which may not be acceptable for the aesthetically aware patient. Where implant position is relatively palatal in the upper anterior region, the bulk of gingivally coloured porcelain may provide an extensive ridge lap which can be difficult to clean. These difficulties can be identified and addressed before definitive restoration by constructing a laboratory temporary with gingival material incorporated for evaluation by the patient, dentist and technical staff (Fig. 17).

The relative advantages and disadvantages of bridgework in combination with a gingival prosthesis in comparison to bridgework with gingivally coloured porcelain are outlined in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Removable gingival prosthesis in combination with fixed bridgework</th>
<th>Fixed prosthesis incorporating gingivally coloured ceramic in edentulous spans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fabrication</td>
<td>Relatively easy once definitive bridgework is fitted. Buccal impression (Fig. 12) in addition to accurate shade taking</td>
<td>More complicated due to the demands of providing ceramic work for both abutment and pontic components of the bridgework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention and function</td>
<td>Prosthesis is retained by interproximal undercuts which need to be planned in advance</td>
<td>Incorporated into bridgework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aesthetics</td>
<td>Larger volumes of tissue can be replaced. Spacing between the gingival prosthesis and bridge pontics maybe present. Gingival colour matching may be easier to achieve. Prosthesis can be feathered into transition zone</td>
<td>Aesthetics may be compromised as the prosthesis needs to be cleansable and so extensive ridge lapping needs to be avoided (Fig. 16). Gingival colour may be hard to achieve. Feather edge not possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refurbishment</td>
<td>Can be modified as tissue changes. A new gingival prosthesis can be constructed relatively easily</td>
<td>If tissue changes occur such as further ridge resorption modification would be difficult and a new bridge may be required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hygiene</td>
<td>Patients must be advised to remove prosthesis for hygiene procedures</td>
<td>Can be difficult to keep plaque free; patients require appropriate oral hygiene instruction and review (Fig. 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>Prosthesis can be prone to fracture especially in the midline. The need to cover the whole of the aesthetic zone buccal alveolus may result in aesthetically unacceptable additional support to the lip. Has the disadvantage of being removable which may be unacceptable to some patients</td>
<td>If further gingival changes occur around abutment teeth after the restoration has been fitted, a new restoration may be required to maintain gingival harmony</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Comparison between fixed bridge in combination with a gingival prosthesis and fixed bridgework with gingival porcelain

When considering aesthetics in complete denture construction the characterisation of the denture base, the use of appropriate root contouring and stippling have been identified as features in the production of a natural looking complete denture (Fig. 21). Where patients wish to have a prosthesis that is similar to their...
In creating an aesthetic denture where the previous natural dentition has been removed recently, pre-extraction records, the amalgam restorations placed in teeth to give a more natural appearance. As the provision of gingivally coloured restorations are relatively new, adapted shade tabs may help to make shade recording more predictable and accurate (Figs 25 and 26). Where technicians are present on-site, a joint consultation with the dentist and patient may aid in providing an aesthetic and cleansable result.

The ability to accurately record shade for a subsequent restoration is a difficult task for both dentist and technician. Problems in producing the optimal shade when constructing fixed gingivally coloured ceramic restorations have been reported. For conventional shade taking, the use of photography, diagrams, multiple shade recordings and electronic colour measure devices can help in achieving optimal shade, although the latter have not been developed for gingival shades. As study models on the prosthesis design before fabrication may aid in providing an aesthetic and cleansable result.

TECHNICIAN–CLINICIAN INTERFACE

The importance of communicating effectively with technician colleagues is clearly important in providing both fixed and removable prostheses. Technician involvement at the planning stages of a proposed restoration that requires a gingival component has been recommended. Where ridge lap design is critical for aesthetics, technician input is important to produce an aesthetic and cleansable result.

Discussion with technician colleagues using appropriate information such as study models on the prosthesis design before fabrication may aid in providing an aesthetic and cleansable result.

Where patients are especially sensitive to the appearance of fixed implant prosthesis, standard restorative materials and gingivally coloured composite can be used to customise restorations (Fig. 22). Optimal masking of abutment screw holes located lingual to prosthetic teeth can be achieved by using gingivally coloured composite as opposed to tooth coloured composite resin (Fig. 22). Previously mentioned problems encountered with phonetics of patients with longstanding periodontal disease can also manifest similarly in patients with a fixed implant prosthesis (Fig. 23). Masking of multi-unit abutments in addition to minimising unwanted air escape during speech can be achieved by using removable indirectly or directly constructed gingival prosthesis (Fig. 24). The clinical and laboratory stages for these restorations are similar to those of gingival prostheses (Figs 11–15).

CONCLUSION

Where recession is localised to a single tooth and root coverage surgery is not indicated, the use of adhesive direct or indirect materials can be considered. Where multiple recession defects are present in stabilised periodontal patients, the use of a gingival prosthesis can be provided relatively easily with a predictable result.
In patients requiring fixed restoration in the aesthetic zone, the use of gingivally coloured porcelain can help in recreating natural tooth proportions. As with all restorations, the involvement of technical colleagues at an early stage in the planning is recommended.

As the prosthetic techniques described in this paper are minimally invasive with low morbidity, they may provide realistic alternatives to surgery for patients concerned about soft tissue aesthetics.
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